ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು

ಅಂಚೆ: ನೀರಿ ಎಂ.ಜಿ.ಎಂ.ಇನ್ನಿತ್ತಿ, ಸ್ವಭಾವಿಕ ಮತ್ತು ಹೊಸ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಯನಕ್ಕೆ, ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾಗಿದ್ದವರು, ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಸಿಹಿಯರಿಂದ ಅಂದಾಜು ಅನುಮೋದನೆಂದು, ಭರೀಪುರಿಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ವಸ್ತುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ.

ನೋಡೆ:
1) ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ 552 ಗುರುತಿ 2014, ಮೌವ್ರ: 03.12.2014
2) ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ 13488, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಸ್ಥಳಾವಧಿಗಳು, ಗುರುತಿ 30.08.2016
3) ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ವಿವರಗಳು ವಿವರಗಳು ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ 22.09.2016
4) ನೀರಿ ಎಂ.ಜಿ.ಎಂ.ಇನ್ನಿತ್ತಿ, ಸ್ವಭಾವಿಕ ಮತ್ತು ಹೊಸ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಯನಕ್ಕೆ, ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾಗಿದ್ದವರು, ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಸಿಹಿಯರಿಂದ ಅಂದಾಜು ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ವಸ್ತುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ, ಮೌವ್ರ 07.11.2016

ಅಂಶಗಳು:


"ಪ್ರತಿ ಎಂ.ಜಿ.ಎಂನ್ನಿತ್ತಿ, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ ವಿವರಗಳು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿ ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಸ್ತುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನಗಳ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ,
ವಿಚಾರದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಸಂವಿಧಾನ ವಿಧಾನಗಳನ್ನು 2011-12ಜಿ ಜಿಡ್ಡೆ ಜಿನ್ನ್ ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಸ್ತುಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನಗಳ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಕೋನವುಗಳ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ 2014 ವಿವರಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು."

ಅಂಶಗಳು: ಮೌವ್ರ: 08.08.2016 ವಿವರಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆಗಳು ವಿವರಗಳು ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ.

ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ 552 ಗುರುತಿ 2014, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ 13488, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆ 30.08.2016 ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ 22.09.2016 ಮೌವ್ರ: 08.08.2016 ವಿವರಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ, ಪ್ರಸ್ರಾರಣೆಗಳು ವಿವರಗಳು ನಿರ್ದೇಶದ ಸರಿಹಾಕಾರಿ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ.
The total number of beneficiaries were 390 and approval was taken for 390 beneficiaries in the gram sabha held on 5.8.2011. on 23.11.13, CEO Zilla Panchayath cancelled the list of 90 beneficiaries. The general body meeting was held on 7.5.2012 but list was approved in general body meeting held on 25.5.2012 and not on 7.5.2012. the list was prepared in normal course and not in a hurried manner.

In the general body meeting held on 20.5.11, the beneficiaries from Sl.No. 270 to 299 was approved. The copy of the resolution dt. 20.5.11 is at Ex P 6 in which the names of 390 beneficiaries are mentioned, but the signatures are not found in Ex P 6. Ex p6 (b) is another resolution which clearly shows that the normal persons were selected under Ex servicemen quota. Copy of another proceedings Ex P 8 shows that double benefit was given to some of the persons, the list was sent for approval to Taluk Panchayath, approval was taken and then DGO has issued the cheques. Therefore the contention of the DGO that without his knowledge the beneficiaries list was approved and he is not responsible for the said discrepancy, cannot be accepted.
Further DW1 has deposed the Smt. Ramzan B Hussainsab has constructed the house under Indira Awas Yojana. After completion of plinth level, lint level, roof level and after total completion, instalment of Rs. 12,500/- each was paid her. To Smt. Mallawwa Mahantesch Hulaginal only one instalment of Rs. 12,500/- was given, after completion of plint level.

Further DW1 has deposed that during his tenure he has not made any payments to Smt. Shankarawwa Bilakeri and Smt. Basava. According to DW1, Smt. Shankarawwa Bilakeri and Smt. Basava. According to DW1, Smt. Geetha Rangappa Bevrur, Smt. Annapoorna Krishna Bevrur, Smt. Anasuya Rangappa Bevrur, Sri. Chinawwa Piddappa Kuri are staying both in Ganijal and Sulebhavi, they have not constructed any houses and no cheques are issued to them and according to DW1, their names are approved in Sl. No. 66,69,72 and 228. This fact clearly shows that though they were having residential houses in Ganijal village, DGO included their names as beneficiaries in sulebhavi village also.

Further DW 1 has deposed the he made payment of Rs. 12,500/- to Smt. Shanthawwa Rudrappa Ganijhal who is the wife of Sri. Rudrappa Ganijhal, Panchayath member and one Smt. Rudrappa Ganijhal married and deserted her husband and Smt. Shanthamma was selected in gram sabha in Sl No. 206 and therefore cheque of Rs. 12,500/- was given to her. This fact clearly shows that Smt. Renawwa Rudrappa ganijhal is daughter of Gram Panchayath member, her name was selected as a beneficiary, Smt. Shanthawwa Rudrappa Ganijhal was the wife of Sri. Rudrappa Ganijhal, Panchayath member. But the benefit was extended to her.

Smt. Lingawwa was the mother of Sri. Nagappa Ganijhal who was the member of gram panchayath, but cheque of Rs. 12,500/- was given to her. Smt. Subhadrawwa was the mother of gram panchayath member and her name was selected in beneficiaries list. Smt. Dhakshayani Manjunath Hiremani was the wife of Gram Panchayath member, but payment of Rs. 12,500/- was also made to her.

Smt. Sharanawwa Piddappa Kuri was the wife of Sri. Piddappa kuri - Ex Chairman and DGO has given one cheque to her. Smt Shantawwa wife of Mahantesh Kuri, brother of Ex Chairmen has constructed a house and DGO has issued one cheque to her. Smt. Basawwa Shivanappa was the mother-in-law of gram panchayath member, but her name was also selected in beneficiaries list. Smt. Shantawwa wife of Sri. Rudrappa Janiwar was the mother of Panchayath member and her name was selected in beneficiaries list.

The evidence of DGO and written statement filed by him also shows that is the general category members were selected under SC/ST category in the beneficiaries list and approval was taken for the said list. According to DW1 himself, the Chief Secretary, Zilla Panchayath issued directions on 23.11.13 to receive the caste certificates and according to DW1 only due to mistake occurred in the computer the caste against the names was mentioned as they were belonging to SC/ST.
Further according to DW1, resolution was passed not to give any benefits to them as per Ex P 6 (a). This fact clearly shows that the DGO without following the norms selected the other category members under SC/ST category and committed dereliction of duty. Further DW1 has deposed that Sri. Umesh Sajjan, Sri. Konappa Katti, Sri. Renuka, Sri. Yellappa Bagali, Sri. Ramappa Huliyappa Ganjihal, Smt. Renawwa ganjal were selected as handicapped persons and immediately when they noticed that these persons are not handicapped, resolution was passed not to give any benefit to them as per Ex P 6(b). But before passing the resolution as per Ex P 6(b) though they were normal persons, their names were selected under handicapped category. Thereby DGO has committed dereliction of duty. Further DW1 has deposed that Sri. Yamanappa Jangina and Smt. Renawwa Jangina were selected under Ex service quota. Therefore resolution was passed as per Ex P 6 (b) not to give any benefit to them.

In the cross examination DW 1 has admitted that when he was working as PDO, the list of 90 beneficiaries was prepared in Sulebhavi Gram Panchayath to give grants for construction of house and while preparing the list of beneficiaries, the categories of beneficiaries are to be mentioned as SC/ST, Ex servicemen or other categories. DW1 has admitted that in the place of SC/ST, the names of other beneficiaries coming under general merit were included. Further DW1 has deposed that since husband of Smt. Shantavva was the member of Gram Panchayath and husband of Smt. Dakshayani was member of Gram Panchayath and relatives of Smt. Kasturibai and Smt. Sharanavva Kuri were the members of Gram Panchayath, grants given to them were recovered subsequently. Therefore the contention of the DGO that there is no rule not to give benefits to family members of president, vice president and members of Gram Panchayath, cannot be accepted.

Further DW1 has admitted that Smt. Guravva, Smt Shankaravva, Smt. Mallavva, Smt. Renavva, Smt. Kamalavva, Smt. Shivadevi, Smt. Padmavathi, Smt. Shivagangavva, Sri. Yamunappa were not belonging to SC or ST, but their names were included under SC/ST category. DW1 also has admitted that Sri. Umesh, Sri. Konappa, Smt. Renuka, Sri. Yallappa, Sri. Ramappa and Smt. Renavva Ramappa were not physically handicapped persons but then names were mentioned in the physically handicapped quota and one Sri. Yamunappa Thipanna Jagina and Smt. Renavva Jagina were not Ex Servicemen, but their names were selected under Ex Servicement quota.

DW1 has admitted that these discrepancies occurred in 390 beneficiaries list and the said list was approved. PDO plays very important role in finalizing the list. He has also admitted that PDO is the authority to finalize and send the list for approval. If once list is approved, there is no chance for further scrutiny or for any further action and during his period, this list was approved. Therefore DGO who was the then PDO of Sulebhavi Gram Panchayath had to take utmost care while preparing the final list and sending the list for approval. Therefore his contention that while feeding data, the details of other gram panchayath list was cut and pasted and the names of beneficiaries and names of gram panchayath were changed cannot be accepted.
The oral and documentary evidence on record clearly show that the DGO who was the then PDO, Sulbhebhi Gram Panchayath, Hungund, Bagalkot District, committed irregularities in identifying the beneficiaries under Indira Awas, Rajiv Gandhi and Basava Aashraya Vasathi Yojana for the year 2011-12, list of beneficiaries prepared by DGO discloses many discrepancies. Rich persons, minors and the relatives of the president and Vice President, without actually making any construction, Payment was made. DGO has released the amount for construction of houses under the said schemes, misappropriated the Government fund and caused loss to the State Exchequer. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No. 1 in the affirmative.

1) ಸ್ವತಃದಿರು ಸಂಪರ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯ ಕಾರಣವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ.
2) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ.
3) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ.
4) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು.
5) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು.
6) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು.
7) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು.
8) ಸಾರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅದಾಗಿರು ಎಂಬುದು ಅನ್ನ ವೇಳೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯುವಿನ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಅದರ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯಕಾರ್ಯದ ಸ್ವತಃದಿರಿ ಅತಿ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು.
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