That one Smt. Poornima Madhukumar, the then President of Ballur Gram Panchayath filed a complaint before the Government against the DGO- Sri Shankar, the then Secretary, Ballur Gram Panchayath, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Presently working as secretary Bannerughatta Gram Panchayath, alleging irregularities in formation of layout of Ballur Gram Panchayath and without providing basic amenities to the allottees and without bringing the allotment of the sites before the Panchayath General Body Meeting, without there being proper boundaries to the site as per the conversion order issued by the concerned Deputy Commissioner, took steps to distribute the sites. On the basis of the complaint, Government was pleased to refer the said complaint for investigation to the Deputy Secretary, Zilla Panchayath, Bangalore City, vide his report dt.12.08.2011 found dereliction of duty and misconduct on the part of DGO committed with regard to formation of layout, distribution of sites, distribution of hakku patra, not making proper entries in the registers, not registering the proper
Khata etc. Thereby DGO failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and committed an act which is unbecoming of a Government servant and thus you are guilty of misconduct under Rule 3(1)(i) to (iii) of KCS (Conduct) Rules 1966.”


The undersigned, hereby directs and orders you to:

1. Report immediately to the Director General of Civil Services, Government of Bangladesh, Dhaka-1000.
2. Submit a detailed report of the incident within 24 hours.
3. Cease to perform your duties until further notice.

The undersigned,

M.H. Subrata Kishore,
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT,
20. In the cross examination, PW2 has admitted that the spot inspection and approval of sketch has to be made by Revenue Department and formation of layout, basic amenities has to be approved by the town planning authority and after taking approval of Gram Panchayat president and members, DGO has to mutate the property.

21. PW1 and PW2 has clearly deposed that the procedure followed by DGO is wrong, basic amenities are not properly provided. The owners of the layout agreed to provide water facility, roads, drainage, electricity supply and only on the oral assurance, panchayath agreed to change the khata. PW1 has clearly denied that the DGO has done his work in accordance with law and followed all the formalities.

22. DW1 has deposed that one Sri. Muniveerappa had submitted an application for change of Khata. The said application was submitted to the CEO, Zilla Panchayath asked him to change the khata. Before changing the khata, DGO had to see that the layout is properly formed. Without doing so, only on the oral directions of the CEO, DGO cannot change the khata.

23. Further DW1 has deposed that he informed CEO that after receipt of the approval from Town Planning Authority, he will change the khata. But DGO has not produced any such documents on record to show that before changing the khata, he informed CEO that he required the approval of town planning. This fact clearly shows that without getting the plan approved by the town planning authority, DGO cannot change the khata.

24. Further DW1 has deposed that the then president of Zilla Panchayath Sri. Rathnaji Reddy was pressurizing him along with the applicant to change the khata, but as discussed above, without yielding to any pressure or making any favour, DGO was expected to follow the procedure in accordance with law before changing the khata. Further DW1 has deposed that he had also given endorsement to the complainant to bring the approval from town planning authority, Ballur. But he has not obtained the said approved plan issued by the town planning authority.

25. In the chief examination itself, DW1 has deposed that the CEO instructed him to mutate the property reserved for civic amenities, parks, roads, to the name of Gram Panchayath and then change the khata in respect of remaining property into the name of Sri. Muniveerappa, Sri. Thalamanchi Narasareddy and Sri. Upul Sudarshan Reddy and on the basis of the Government Order, he has changed the mutation in accordance with law. But as observed in Ex D8, before changing the khata, water facility, roads, drainages and electricity supply was not provided to the layout. Therefore, it is very clear that there are irregularities in formation of layout of Ballur Gram Panchayath, basic amenities are not provided to the layout, allotment of sites were not
brought to the notice of the panchayath general body meeting, boundaries were not fixed to the sites as per the conversion order issued by the concerned Deputy Commissioner. DGO committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

The matter was considered 2nd time date 13-08-2017. The Deputy Commissioner, Chikballapur, has already disposed of the matter in an order in this connection. The DGO is repeatedly informed to maintain honesty, integrity, and accountability. The DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty. Thereby the DGO has committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

The matter was considered 2nd time date 13-08-2017. The Deputy Commissioner, Chikballapur, has already disposed of the matter in an order in this connection. The DGO is repeatedly informed to maintain honesty, integrity, and accountability. The DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty. Thereby the DGO has committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

The matter was considered 2nd time date 13-08-2017. The Deputy Commissioner, Chikballapur, has already disposed of the matter in an order in this connection. The DGO is repeatedly informed to maintain honesty, integrity, and accountability. The DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty. Thereby the DGO has committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

The matter was considered 2nd time date 13-08-2017. The Deputy Commissioner, Chikballapur, has already disposed of the matter in an order in this connection. The DGO is repeatedly informed to maintain honesty, integrity, and accountability. The DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty. Thereby the DGO has committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

The matter was considered 2nd time date 13-08-2017. The Deputy Commissioner, Chikballapur, has already disposed of the matter in an order in this connection. The DGO is repeatedly informed to maintain honesty, integrity, and accountability. The DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty. Thereby the DGO has committed misconduct in respect of distribution of sites, distribution of Hakku Patra, not making proper entries in the register, not register proper khata. Thereby the DGO has failed to maintain absolute integrity besides devotion to duty and acted in a manner unbecoming of a Government servant. Hence, I proceed to answer point No.1 in the affirmative.
2. ನಂತರ, ಪ್ರಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-25, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
3. ಕೊನೆಯಾಗುವುದು, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-10, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 20-20, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
4. ಮೊದಲು, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-25, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
5. ಮೊದಲು, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-25, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
6. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-25, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
7. ಕ್ರಮ ಶುರುಮಾರಿ, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 10-25, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.
8. ಪ್ರತಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು, ಹಾಗ್ ಒಪ್ಪಂತ (ದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು)
9. ಭವನ ಸ್ಥಳ, ಒಪ್ಪಂತ.